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THE HEARING OFFICER: (Video
started here).... Stenographic transcript is also being made and will be available on the LMDC and ESD websites. You can see those on your screen now. I'd like to give some guidance to help you fully participate in this virtual hearing before we formerly begin.

We are requiring that only
presenters share their video during this hearing. This will include speakers who are giving their testimony. For the best viewing experience we recommend that all participants enable the feature in Zoom that allows you to show only participants with video enabled.

These first two screens that will show on your screen next, provide instructions on how to do that. First for a PC or Android device, and then the second, for a Mac or Apple device. This screen is for those who wish to view the hearing with American Sign Language interpretation. And this screen explains the options that you have for providing comments on the proposed modifications to the approved plan.

If you signed up to speak before the hearing when -- during your registration process, we have your name and you'll be called during the testimony portion of this hearing. If you did not sign up to speak when you registered to enter the meeting, but you would like to speak, please identify yourself using the raise hand function on Zoom. Instructions for this are being shown on your screen now and will be shown periodically during the hearing.

If you are joining us this evening by telephone only, you may dial star nine on your keypad to indicate your desire to provide verbal testimony. We will receive a message with your phone number. Participants using the raise hand function or star nine, will be added to the end of the speaker list. The option to sign up to speak will end 30 minutes before the close of this hearing or at 7:30 p.m. I'll give you a moment to read the instructions before $I$ move on. Again, these instructions will appear periodically during the hearing.
Comments presented at this
hearing will be taken into consideration by LMDC
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and ESD as part of the final review of the Proposed Amendment and Proposed Property Transfers. You will also have the opportunity to send in your comments via the e-mail and mailing addresses provided here. For those joining us via phone, the e-mail address is WTCSite5@esd.ny.gov. Comments will be accepted until 5:00 p.m. on Monday, October 17, 2022. This information is also available on the LMDC and ESD websites.

Before we begin the testimony
portion of this hearing to hear your comments, we will hear from Tobi Jaiyesimi, Assistant Vice President of ESD. In addition to Tobi, a number of other representatives from the ESD and LMDC project team are with us today and will be listening to all comments delivered tonight.

Attendees on the hearing with us now include Holly Leicht, Chair of the LMDC Board of Directors;

Dan Ciniello, LMDC President; Goldie Weixel, Acting General

Counsel of ESD;
Debbie Royce, Corporate Secretary
of ESD and LMDC;
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Matthew Acocello, Associate
Counsel, ESD and LMDC;
Terence Cho, Real Estate
Development and Planning Team, ESD;
Eram Qadri, Senior Director
Planning and Environmental Review at ESD;
Derek Utter, Chief Development
Officer of The Port Authority;
Matt Peterson, Assistant Director
of Real Estate for the Port Authority; and
Hersh Parekh, Director of
Government and Community Relations for the Port
Authority. These representatives will be in
attendance throughout this hearing.
Before we begin the comments
portion, I'd like to introduce Tobi Jaiyesimi of ESD, who will present a summary of the Proposed Amendment and Proposed Property Transfers.

MS. JAIYESIMI: Thank you,
Celeste. Thank you for joining tonight's public hearing on the World Trade Center Site 5 Project. My name is Tobi Jaiyesimi, and I'm Assistant Vice President of Real Estate and Community Relations at Empire State Development.

I am joined tonight by the ESD and LMDC, World Trade Center Site 5 project team, members of the LMDC Board of Directors and representatives of the Port Authority.

I stated earlier the purpose of tonight's hearing is to give the public the opportunity to deliver oral comments on the proposed amendment to the World Trade Center General Project Plan and the Proposed Property Transfers. I'd like to begin by offering background on the Project Site.

Site 5, which is located at 130
Liberty Street, is the location of the former Deutsche Bank building which was severely damaged on 9/11. The Lower Manhattan Corp used Department of Housing and Urban Development, or HUD, Community Development Block Grant funds to acquire, remediate and clear Site 5. The World Trade Center Memorial and Cultural Program General Project Plan was originally adopted by LMDC, a subsidiary of ESD, in 2004, to provide for memorial and cultural uses, as well as commercial redevelopment of the World Trade Center, after the September 11 attacks.

The Approved Plan provides for
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five commercial towers, public open space, and a performing arts center surrounding the 9/11 memorial and memorial museum. The plan contemplated commercial and/or hotel use on Site 5 .

In February 2006, the Port
Authority and LMDC entered into a memorandum of understanding by which LMDC would swap 130 and 140 Liberty Street, including Site 5 with the Port Authority for property it owned at the center of the campus to enable the 9/11 memorial and memorial museum, as well as the performing arts center to be built within and proximate to the footprints of the Twin Towers.

The 9/11 memorial and the 9/11
memorial museum were opened in 2011 and 2014 ,
respectively. In response to Community Board 1
input, LMDC began discussions with the Port
Authority in 2017 about allowing for either commercial or mixed-use development at Site 5. In 2019, LMDC and the Port Authority entered into another memorandum of understanding to jointly issue a request for proposals for Site 5 that would allow for, as of right commercial development or mixed-use development, including a requirement for
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an affordable housing component, which would require modifications to the General Project Plan.

In February 2021, after a
competitive process, a mixed-use development proposed by a team comprising Silverstein Properties, the Bar Development, Omni New York, and Brookfield Properties was conditionally designated by the LMDC directors. The proposed project includes residential rental housing, with 25 percent of the units permanently affordable, office space, ground floor retail, a community facility, as well as a direct connection to the adjacent Liberty Park.

Since conditional designation of
the development team 18 months ago, LMDC, ESD, and the Port Authority have made multiple presentations to Community Board 1 and local elected officials and held regular meetings with the World Trade Center Site 5, Community Advisory Committee or CAC. Feedback from these stakeholders have been instrumental in shaping multiple aspects of the proposed project, including programming, design, and public access to the building.

The World Trade Center Site 5
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project was presented to the LMDC and ESD directors in November 2021 for consideration of the modifications to the World Trade Center General Project Plan. In April 2022, after a public hearing which was held in January, and a public comment period which is open for mid-February, the directors approved modifications to allow for mixed-use development, in addition to the already approved commercial use at Site 5 .

In July, the LMDC and ESD
directors approved the start of the public review process, for the proposed amendment, and Proposed Property Transfers, including authorization to hold tonight's hearing. Development materials are posted on LMDC and ESD websites and detailed in the public notice issued in the daily news and posted online. The proposed amendment includes proposed zoning overrides to allow for a residential development at Site 5 .

These overrides and the draft mixed-use design guidelines were initially part of the materials presented during the public review process for modifications to the general project plan. However, in response to community requests,
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the overrides and design guidelines were pulled from the MGPP approvals and are now part of the materials prepared for the Proposed Project and Property Transfers.

If the proposed project is approved after the public review process, LMDC would grant an easement to the Port Authority for slight encroachments relating to the adjacent vehicle security center and would transfer Ownership of Site 5 to ESD. ESD would then serve as landlord and enter into a long-term lease with the conditionally designated developer, since LMDC will cease operations once the HUD Block Grant is closed out.

Consistent with the planned swap
and the memorandum of understanding mentioned earlier, all rent payments would go to the Port Authority in exchange for the $9 / 11$ memorial and museum and performing arts center sites.

During construction, the annual
base rent would be $\$ 1,345,000$. And after construction, the annual base rent steps up to the greater of 21 percent of the cash flow before debt service, or a minimum base rent of $\$ 12.5$ million.

If the rental income under the lease exceeds the value of the originally planned commercial use of Site 5, the excess value -- the excess of revenue, will be shared with 75 percent going to LMDC or to the city at the LMDCs HUD grant closeout, and 25 percent going to the Port.

The proposed project would be subject to a payment in lieu of taxes pilot agreement that would require the tenant to make payments to the city, equivalent to real estate taxes applicable to the property if ESD were not owner of the site, reduced by the equivalent value of the Affordable Housing Benefit Program. The proposed project's affordable housing requirements would be governed by a regulatory agreement to be administered by New York State's affordable housing agency, Homes and Community Renewal.

There have been significant public discussions about an attention to the project's affordability requirements. Community representatives and elected officials have called for increased affordable housing, including some demands that the project be 100 percent affordable. The proposed project has been introduced with a
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minimum of 25 percent affordable housing units, but there are no restrictions on the increasing the affordability threshold.

The project team has shared with stakeholders the valuation of the site, 249 million, the total development costs of approximately 1.2 billion, and the cash subsidy needed to increase the affordable unit count at the project, ranging from approximately 415 million for an increase to 40 percent affordable to over 900 million, 400 percent affordable tower. The project team remains committed to continued public engagement throughout this process, including discussions with the city, the community advisory committee, Community Board 1, local elected officials, the 100 percent affordable World Trade Center Site 5 coalition, and other stakeholders.

Again, the purpose of tonight's
hearing is to afford those interested an
opportunity to comment on the Proposed Amendment and Property Transfers. LMDC and ESD directors will consider the public comments made here tonight, as well as any written comments received up until October 17,2022 . And we'll take action on the

Proposed Amendment and Property Transfers at a later date.

The public comment period will remain open until 5:00 p.m. on Monday, October 17, 2022. Comments may be submitted via e-mail or mail using the addresses provided on the screen. Thank you for taking the time to participate in tonight's hearing. And $I$ look forward to your comments.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Now I'd
like to take care of some administrative matters by asking the stenographer to mark the following documents as exhibits to the hearing transcript. Exhibit 1, a copy of the public hearing notice that appeared in the New York daily news on August 12, 2022, along with the affidavit of publication attesting to the publication of the notice.

> Exhibit 2, the resolutions
approved by the LMDC and ESD Directors,
respectively on July 19, 2022 and July 21, 2022 .
And Exhibit 3, the proposed
amendment to the World Trade Center Memorial and Cultural Program General Project Plan. Thank you for marking these exhibits.
(The aforementioned documents were
marked as Hearing Exhibits 1-3.)

THE HEARING OFFICER: Now before
we begin the testimony portion of this public
hearing, $I$ will once again share instructions for those who wish to view the hearing with American Sign Language interpretation.

As I mentioned at the beginning of the hearing, if you signed up to speak before the hearing, during the registration process, we have your name and you will be called in the order in which you registered.

If you did not sign up to speak
prior to the hearing, but would like to speak, please identify yourself using the raise hand function on Zoom. Instructions for this are being shown on your screen right now and will be shown periodically during the hearing.

If you are joining us by phone
only, you may dial star nine to indicate your
desire to provide testimony. Participants using
the raise hand function or star nine, will be added to the end of the speaker list. The option to sign up to speak will end 30 minutes before the close of
the hearing at 7:30 p.m.
Each speaker this evening will be allowed three minutes in wish to -- in which to speak to assure that everyone has a chance to provide their thoughts here tonight. I'll begin by -- I'll begin the testimony portion by calling the names of those who registered to speak or the first and last three digits of your phone number, if you're using the telephone dial in option.

Elected officials, community
board, district managers, or chairs, and representatives of a government agency will be provided the courtesy of speaking first.

Periodically, you will see a list on your screen of the next five speakers so that you can prepare for your turn to speak.

When your name or the first and last three digits of your phone number are called, you'll be asked to unmute yourself and we'll make sure you're ready before starting the time clock. You can scroll or swipe on your phone or your computer screen to see the count down clock to remind you of the length of time left for your testimony.
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When three minutes have passed, your audio will be muted and you will be invited to spend -- send any remaining comments to ESDs e-mail or mailing address. Before you begin your testimony, please state your name and affiliation, if you have one, for the record.

As a reminder, this is a public hearing for the proposed amendments to the world Trade Center and Memorial and Cultural Program's General Project Plan, and Proposed Property Transfers related to the development of World Trade Center Site 5. We encourage all speakers to focus your comments on the Proposed Amendment and Proposed Property Transfers.

We also ask that speakers exercise
civil conduct during your testimony. Speakers using inappropriate language will be muted and cautioned before being allowed to continue. Please also be reminded that this is a hearing. It's not a question and answer session.

All right. So before we begin with our registered speakers, we'd first like to welcome some of our elected officials who have requested sometime to provide comment.

Our first speaker will be Assembly
Member Charles Fall. Assembly Member Fall, you may begin when you're ready.

MR. FALL: Good evening. My name is Charles Fall and $I$ represent the 61st Assembly District. I want to thank you for the opportunity, and holding this hearing regarding 5 World Trade Center. As we all know, there is still an urgent need for additional affordable housing units throughout the city and state, but particularly in Lower Manhattan.

> Many of us know the social
economic diversity in our residential settings only provide for a more vibrant community and this is what makes New York great. I join many of my colleagues in government and the coalition for 100 percent Affordable 5 World Trade Center, in expressing the urgency of giving housing opportunities. And $I$ emphasize opportunities to moderate low-income and $9 / 11$ first responders and survivors at 5 World Trade Center.

I truly believe this gives us an
historic opportunity to have residents from all cultures and economic means that experience, to
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enjoy beautiful parks, accessible transportation, excellent education for our youth, and both the government and public sector drive -- drops.

Therefore, $I$ stand firm and encourage the leadership at the Empire State Development Corporation, LMDC, and the Port Authority to consider options that would permit 5 World Trade Center to become 100 percent affordable. I stand ready with my colleagues to help achieve this goal.

And lastly, let's remember that we and government work for the people. And it's critical that the public's input is reflected in the final outcome at 5 World Trade Center. Thank you again for allowing me the opportunity to share my remarks on this important matter.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much assembly member for your testimony.

Our next speaker will be Council Member Christopher Marte. Council member, let us know when you're ready to begin.

MR. MARTE: Can you hear me?
THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. We can.
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MR. MARTE: Hi, everyone. My name is Christopher Marte, I'm the New York City Council Member representing Lower Manhattan which World Trade Center, number 5 Site is located in. I stand with other elected officials from the federal level, state level and here on the city level, as well as with the coalition for 100 percent on world Trade Center five and many others, like Community Board 1, in urging to make this site 100 percent affordable. We have an opportunity to, not only change the shape, the color, and the economic diversity of Lower Manhattan, and we should take it.

What this can present is
opportunities for low-income families from the outer boroughs. It can give an opportunity for people who lost $9 / 11$ loved ones or $9 / 11$ survivors to find a home -- to finally find a home, a place where they can afford and live with all the amenities and opportunities that Lower Manhattan can provide. I stand ready as a city council member to provide any resource, any support to achieve this goal.

And I finally would like to thank
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all the members of the community that have been working tirelessly to provide a feasible alternative to show that this can financially work. When the state and other stakeholders told them this was not possible, they grinded, they continued to work, and they have a proposal that can work and give this opportunities for those who need it the most.

So thank you again for giving me this opportunity to talk. We look forward to working with everyone to make 100 percent the future of this site. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much, council member for your testimony.

Our next speaker will be Theo Perez, who is a representative of Assembly Member Yuh-Line Niou. Theo Perez, let us know when you're ready to begin.

MR. PEREZ: Hello. Can you hear
me?
THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. We can hear you, but $I$ believe we can't see you.

MR. PEREZ: All right. I might not be able to turn on my video right now because
of my situation. But $I$ will speak clearly and hopefully that'll paint enough of a picture.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Great.

MR. PEREZ: Thank you-all for
having me. My name is Theo Perez here on behalf of Assembly Member Yuh-Line Niou of the 65 th Assembly District, which currently includes the World Trade Center site. She asked me to say this statement on her behalf.

After 21 years our community in
Lower Manhattan continues to feel the repercussions of 9/11. We have survivors and 9/11 first responders who, to this day, continue to develop health conditions. And many of us in the community have far too many friends and family who are terminally ill or have passed away due to 9/11 related illnesses.

In light of this tragic legacy, we cannot afford to squander public land and government resources to fund what is a majority luxury development. Instead, the project can and must be one that is community lead and community serving. This is public land that should be used for public good.
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Lower Manhattan is one of the least affordable neighborhoods in the nation, and it is clear that our community desperately needs good housing that is deeply and permanently affordable, and this site can and should be used to serve this need. 100 percent affordable coalition was formed to advocate for affordability. They've engaged architects, community leaders, and local experts to consider what such development would look like and commission to study that shows it is entirely feasible for a fully affordable tower to be built on the site.

The current proposed luxury tower is a waste of a tremendous opportunity. Our city and state can rise to the occasion and join the coalition to create the fully affordable development that working families, including and especially $9 / 11$ survivors and their descendants deserve. In addition to deeply and permanently affordable housing, the World Trade Center five project should allocate more than what is currently allocated as space for community use. Our neighborhood severely lacks recreational areas, gathering hubs and other vital family spaces. We
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should also look into how the commercial and retail spaces at the site can cater to the needs of residents, such as grocery stores and small businesses rather than luxury chains.

The transfer proposal sets minimum affordability requirements below what the public site ought to have and outlines the conditional project that does not guarantee the resources that the neighborhood needs. We appreciate the ESD setting those as minimums and being willing to work with us towards a higher level. And $I$ hope that the ESD will continue to work with the coalition and elected officials, such as our office and the other offices that have spoken to pre-op -- to prioritize our community first, and to make the needs of working families and $9 / 11$ survivors the chief priority of this project. Thank you for letting me speak.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much for your testimony. Our next speaker will be Democratic District Leader, Vittoria Fariello. Vittoria Fariello, if you can let us know when you're ready to speak.
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MS. FARIELLO: Hi. Can you hear me?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. We can.

MS. FARIELLO: Okay. Thank you.
Sorry about that. And I apologize, I can't put my video on because I'm not sure I have enough reception. But thank you so much for the opportunity to testify. And $I$ want to thank the agencies for working with us. I want to thank our elected officials for partnering with us in making this happen.

We are -- as -- I wanted to mention one thing. Tobi, who has been working with us and we're very grateful for that, mentioned the cost of making the building 100 percent affordable. I want to clarify that that is the proposed building. It is a luxury building. It is inexpensive building. And I am a Democratic District leader. I'm also a member of the coalition for 100 percent affordable 5 World Trade Center. And we commissioned a study that shows that we can actually make 100 percent affordable housing there, but it would not be a luxury
building.

So our concern here is that we have a bureaucratic imposed deadline that will prevent us from actually exploring other buildings. So as we -- as many speakers before me have said, downtown has lost thousands of affordable units. The proposal right now is about 300 affordable units. What we would love to see is about 1,200 that would start to make a dent in what we have lost, which is well over 5, 000 affordable units.

So again, I'd like to thank
everyone. This is a unique site. It is a resource rich neighborhood. We have -- we are walks away from gorgeous parks, excellent schools, on top of a transportation hub, walks away from jobs at Wall Street, and the Civic Center. It is the ideal site for affordable housing. So I would urge the agency to continue to work with us. And we are again, very grateful for the partnership with our elected officials as well, and to actually provide this once in a century opportunity to actually build the fully affordable building. Thank you so much.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you
very much for your testimony.

Our next speaker will be Emily
Lang, who will be a representative of Bryan Cave. Emily Lang, you may let us know when you're ready to speak.

MS. LANG: Hi. Sorry, everyone.
I joined late. Not sure if I'm interrupting the order. My name is Emily Lang, I'm here
representing State Senator Bryan Cave, and I'll be reading testimony on his behalf. I submit for your consideration the following comments regarding the proposal of the Lower Manhattan Development Corporation and the Empire State Development Corporation to amend the World Trade Center Memorial and Cultural Program General Project Plan, and the proposed disposition of property in connection with development at World Trade Center Site 5.

To begin, $I$ want to express my support for a mixed-use development at Site 5 with residential and community facility uses. I believe that a mixed-use residential tower at the site would be an appropriate addition to the Lower Manhattan community, most especially to the extent it provides for affordable housing. I recognize
that the current proposed development provides for 25 percent of the residential units to be permanently affordable and an average income of roughly 50 percent of the area, median income.

As you know, for the past year or so many of my colleagues, community advocates and I, have welcomed the inclusion of these affordable homes in the Project. And I acknowledge that 50 percent of $A M I$ is a fairly generous standard of affordability for project of this type. But we've also strongly expressed the view that 25 percent is not enough, especially for a community that has been losing affordable apartments at an alarming rate for many years.

I want to publicly recognize that throughout 2022, representatives of LMDC, ESD, the Port Authority, and other state officials have participated in ongoing discussions hosted by my office with the community board, the offices of Congress Member Nadler, Borough President Levine, Assembly Member Niou, Council Member Marte, and the coalition for 100 percent affordable 5 World Trade Center, to discuss the feasibility of increasing affordability at the site. I appreciate your
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participation in these conversations and your commitments to working with us on this.

And $I$ want to stress again that maximizing affordability must be a key priority. I strongly urge you to continue these conversations and make every effort to consider various financing sources and other measures to ensure a maximum number of permanently affordable units.

The proposed project also provides for space in the Tower for a community facility. And the two potential programs analyzed in the mixed-use design guidelines. The community facility space is set at either 13,000 square feet or 21,329 square feet.

Both the community board and other stakeholders in the community have advocated strongly for a larger community space. Given that this area currently lacks sufficient accessible and multi-purpose public community spaces, especially dedicated senior spaces and recreational areas for students and children. I urge you to increase the community facility space designated in the final project proposal to the greatest extent feasible in order to ensure that residents of this increasingly
mixed-use neighborhood are able to enjoy a diverse and abundant ranch of much needed programming.

Finally, with regards to the Sustainability standards, $I$ recognize that the current guidelines state that the building must meet Leed Gold standards and comply with the sustainable design guidelines applicable to a mixed-use building. As I've expressed to you before, I believe that these standards should be considered baseline requirements. And I ask that you implement additional sustainability guidelines that go beyond what is currently proposed.

In particular, recognizing that the governor, in her 2022 State of the State of address, legislators in the form of the proposed All-Electric Building Act, and the state bodies working on implementation of the Climate Leadership and Community Protection Act have all proposed requiring all new buildings to be all electric to the extent feasible. I ask that this sustainability standards include this objective. As I've noted before, this site is one that holds significant meaning to many who live in work in the area and is a rare opportunity
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to bring both large-scale affordable housing and community space to Lower Manhattan. A community centered approach here is key. And I urge you-all to continue to work diligently with all partners in the community to design a building that will foster the diversity and vibrancy of this neighborhood. appreciate your consideration of these comments. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much for your testimony.

We will now proceed to our list of
registered speakers. If there any elected officials or their representatives, or community board district managers or chairs or representatives of a government agency that wish to provide comment, they will be inserted. Please alert the moderators and we'll -- you'll be added to the list as we are able to do so -So our list of our first ten priority speakers will include -- will be Adira Siman, Alan Van Capelle, Carol Lamberg, Jenna Chrisphonte. My apologies if I mispronounce her name. Todd Fine, Susan Cole, Reggie Thomas, Taina Prado, Kim Barreiro, and Aixa Torres.

So Adira Siman, you may begin
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when you're ready.

MS. SIMAN: You hear me?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.

Great.

MS. SIMAN: My name is Adira Siman and I'm representing the Partnership for New York City. Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments in support of the proposed development at World Trade Center Site 5. The Partnership for New York City represents private sector employers of more than one million New Yorkers. We work together with government, labor and the non-profit sector to maintain the city's position as the preeminent global center of commerce, innovation, and economic opportunity. The partnerships offices have been in Lower Manhattan at Bowling Green since 1991. For more than three decades we have collaborated with government, industry and civic organizations to transform Lower Manhattan into a model mixed-use live, work neighborhood. The proposed development at Site 5 is an important addition to this community that we strongly support. Tower five would be the first and only
residential building on the World Trade Center campus, helping to keep pace with the demand for living space in the area.

The proposed development will also make a significant contribution to the availability of affordable housing in the neighborhood without requiring public funds. The proposed 5 World Trade Center would provide additional value to the neighborhood through a substantial community space to be operated by an established non-profit, as well as ground floor retail that will increase the vibrancy of local streets.

The project will also regenerate both temporary and permanent jobs and provide needed revenue for public entities like the Port Authority. We urge you to approve the proposed Plan. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your testimony. Our next speaker will be Alan van Capelle. Alan, let us know when you're ready. MR. CAPELLE: Hi, there. My name is Alan van Capelle, I'm the CEO and President of Educational Alliance, and I'm delighted to be here
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this evening to add our very strong and enthusiastic support for the World Trade Center five plan.

Educational Alliance is a 135 year-old community-based organization serving 50, 000 New Yorkers each year, and we do so in several community centers throughout Lower Manhattan. Our community centers offer a mix of arts and culture, health and wellness, educational programs and civic engagement. Our community centers are all economically integrated. We have a sliding scale fee structure for most of our programs. And we're proud to be able to welcome the well-to-do, the middle income and low-income families all through our doors.

We have signed a letter of intent with the developers and we are excited to operate the community facility at World Trade Center five. To prepare for this community center, we have embarked on a very deep community engagement effort to solicit ideas and feed-backs from the people who work, who live, and who play in that neighborhood. This process will help inform what we establish -what programs get established in that community
space. And we look forward to continuing this process of community engagement in the months to come.

We encourage you to support this proposal for World Trade Center five. And we thank the esteem members of the committee for your time this evening. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you
for your testimony.
Our next speaker will be Carol
Lamberg. Carol Lamberg, let us know when you're ready to begin.

MS. LAMBERG: I'm unmuted. I'm trying to start a video, but --

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. We can hear you and see you.

MS. LAMBERG: Oh, okay. Then I did it. All right.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
Perfectly.
MS. LAMBERG: Thank you for this opportunity. I'm very lucky to be connected to the five, 100 percent World Trade Center coalition. I worked in affordable housing, well, starting from a
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summer intern job in 1960. And it's been a wonderful career. Been lucky enough to direct the settlement housing fund for 30 years. And we completed over 8, 000 units of affordable housing, 55 different projects that we were one way or another connected to.

And many of them, people said to me, that will never happen. And we made it happen. It's not easy, but to the idea of creating 100 percent affordable housing on Site 5 of the world Trade Center sends such a beautiful message to the world that we support inclusion. It's a great thing for New York City. And one way or another we can make it feasible.

We did get a grant from the New York Community Trust to hire Jared Delane, who's both a professor and a developer. It's a great combination to provide a feasibility study which shows that it can be done. We just had to have tremendous political will and stamina to achieve something that would really be great for New York. And $I$ will be brief in my testimony. Thank you very much.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you
for your testimony.
Our next speaker will be Jenna Chrisphonte. Jenna Chrisphonte, I believe I just saw you. So you may begin when you're ready.

MS. CHRISPHONTE: Hello. Can you hear me?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
MS. CHRISPHONTE: Hello. Good evening. My name is Jenna Chrisphonte, and I serve as Director of Civic Alliances on behalf of the performing arts center at the World Trade Center, which is currently under construction.

The performing arts center will be honored to welcome audiences to its new home for emerging and established artists and theater, dance, music, chamber, opera, film, and media from New York City and around the world in 2023. The pack is a 501 C3 organization and is 142 feet in height and compromise of 129--100,000 square feet, of which 40,000 is usable square feet.

Built from the ground up with automated walls and seating systems, the packs performance level has three intimate, flexible theater spaces that can be combined, and configured
to create a range of unique experiences for audiences and artists. Our public level is free and open to the public and our lobby stage will host free work at various times during the day and at night. In terms of potential outdoor performances, we respect the reflective nature of the memorial that is adjacent to our building. The proposed redevelopment of World Trade Center Site 5 offers an opportunity to integrate the World Trade Center campus with the surrounding community. The proposed project would have a minimum of 25 percent affordable housing, approximately 300 units. Which means that a family with an annual income of $\$ 60,000$ or individual making $\$ 40,000$ annually would be able to afford living in Lower Manhattan. This is an incredible opportunity to have a tower with round the clock uses and an alive and streets -- street-scape.

The development of a residential
tower on Site 5 would also mean individuals and families who live, work, shop, and socialize in the area could reap the direct benefit to small businesses and the local economy. As a community facility, Site 5 is also critically important to
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the local neighborhood. The facility has a potential to attract and serve diverse and inter-generational users. Teenagers, seniors, and persons with varying physical abilities, could have access to resources and programming in their communing -- excuse me, into their community, including the performing arts center.

The development of Site 5 is projected to deliver approximately 10,530 jobs, 5,000 of -- approximately 5,000 of which would be direct and additional 5,000 be indirect during construction in different permanent jobs, et cetera. And the rental payments from the development could support the Port Authority's Capital Plan --

THE HEARING OFFICER: Ten second warning. Sorry.

## MS. CHRISPHONTE: -- includes

airports, bridges, and tunnels in the Tri-State area. The performing arts center is honored to be here today to encourage your support of this project. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Our next speaker. Thank you for your testimony.
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Our next speaker will be Todd Fine. Todd Fine, let us know when you're ready to begin.

MR. FINE: Yes. Can you see me? THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. We can see and hear you.

MR. FINE: Okay. Yes. I'm Todd fine with the coalition for 100 percent. I have three asides.

First, I think it's pathetic that the Perelman, an organization named after Trump's biggest financier, you know -- Trump's -- one of Trump's biggest donors is coming out to undercut a community campaign for more affordable housing. Shame on the Perelman that will forever go down in history as its value.

Second, the link posting for this hearing, despite spending so much money on it, was embedded within a PDF on the site, not on the actual site. It's a blatantly illegal attempt to minimize public participation in this hearing.

Three, There is the ESD members, the LMDC staff were introduced as participants in -- they should all turn on their cameras, if they don't, it's a violation of the New York City
meetings laws. We should be able to look at the people who are speaking, turn on your cameras, it's a violation to have them off.

Now despite the calls among many public officials and community board activists throughout this entire process for more affordable housing, there's been no progress in two years. We have the same proposal. So now we're actually considering the implementation of a proposal, basically opposed by everybody except lobbyists who you'll see in attendance tonight. And there's many problems with this proposal.

First, is, you know, the very
fact that they've now changed the lease terms to 12 million or whatever's bigger than the cash flow, means that we're basically having the lease of this agreement come down to Larry Silverstein's accounting. Really? At a time when there's ten percent inflation, you're going to have a 12 percent rent that begins in five years to increase two percent? Its absurd, and it's basically illegal.

Two, it's a one bid contract. They don't expose that this -- there was only one
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possible residential bid for one of the greatest real estate addresses in the world. It was clearly a corrupt bidding process run by Cuomo designed to get favored parties the -- Larry Silverstein, look, who controls the whole site? The when. Its disgusting.

Three, it's illegal. Both the original lease agreement and the -- for years they said Port Authority can't do residential. That was in the RFP process. So if now Port Authority can get residential funding and can also own the property. In 99 years, you're opening yourself for a lawsuit. No real bond. Investors, going invest in something that's going to be tied up in courts for years and you're basically antagonizing all elected officials just to pursue something illegal. Four, it betrays the listening sessions. No affordable housing. No complex built in Lower Manhattan 21 years after 9/11. That is your mission. In your mission, it says you're supposed to expand affordable housing, but you've done nothing and you're killing everybody who wants better, trying to undercut everyone for the last two years to do your own mission. It's disgusting.
Four -- five, it's wasteful. It's -- for 25 percent. It's pathetic. You're giving tax credits for this -- for that 25 percent that exceed the ground rent. So basically, you're stealing from other state agencies in order to give the Port Authority this $\$ 12$ million. We're great. \$12 million that undercuts 9/11 families and the sentiments of these communities.

Six, it's lobbyist run. Kaiser's fingerprints are on everything here. We'll see all the lobbyists tonight. Thank you very much. And finally, it's just -- this Educational Alliance thing with this, you know, that -- there's been no community engagement at all. It's sick. A small space with a organization that nobody wants and doesn't actually respond to the meeting -- the needs of this neighborhood. It's not even located here. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much for your testimony. Our next speaker will be Susan Cole. But I believe that Susan Cole is not currently present in the meeting. Susan Cole, if you are present, but under a different name -- you joined under a different name or a
phone call, please use the raise hand function or dial star nine to let us know that you're here. In the meantime, we will move on to Reggie Thomas. Reggie Thomas, you may begin when you're ready.

MR. THOMAS: Wonderful. Thank you. I'll get everything on. My name is Reggie Thomas, I'm Senior Vice President of Government Affairs of the Real Estate Board of New York. We're pleased to be here virtually to testify on this proposed General Project Plan and Transfers.

As we all know, Lower Manhattan is a vibrant neighborhood, with phenomenal access to jobs, to transit, parks and schools. But what it doesn't presently provide is opportunities for lower income households to access this level of vibrancy. Over the last 20 years, the community has lost affordable units as co-op buildings of rental complexes -- excuse me, rental complexes, exit or age out-of-state programs.

The map constructing replacement
affordable units in this neighborhood is very
challenging. With restrictions to residential density, highland values, and labor costs all being
major contributing factors. That's why it's so critical at 20 -- 25 percent, 300 of these 1,200 residential rental apartments will be permanently affordable, all without a direct cost to taxpayers.

As we know, Lauman had it as a successful live, work, play neighborhood. REBNY believes it's appropriate that this new development adds significance workload to our neighborhood and the special campus continues in that area.

The new housing, the development, and completion of a World Trade Center campus, as well as the new 11,000 square foot community space program by the Educational Alliance, as well as the retail activation of Albany and Greenwich Streets are exciting, important, and appropriate changes to this Project Plan. The Real Estate Board of New York is pleased to share its support of this project. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much for your testimony. Our next speaker is -- was registered as Taina Prado, but we believe -actually the next three speakers on this list are not present. So I'll call your names. If you are present, but under -- you registered under a
different name or a phone number, please let us know. Taina Prado, Kim Barreiro, Aixa Torres.

If you are or you are representative of any of those speakers, you may raise your hand or dial star nine on your phone. Or if you're having trouble doing that, you may e-mail, help@PublicWorksPartners.com, to let us know if you are here. And we will insert you back into the speaker list.

So at this time, we will proceed
with our next list of ten speakers. The next group of speakers will be Jonathan Geballe, Edward Cuccia, Kate Cunningham, Brian Holland, Logan Phares, Pat Gray, Mariama James, Grace, A. Capobianco, Justine Cuccia, and Lucy West.

Of this group, we believe that Jonathan Geballe is not with us. And so we'll move on to Edward Cuccia. Edward Cuccia, you may let us know when you're ready to begin.

MR. CUCCIA: Hi. How are you?
Can you --
THE HEARING OFFICER: Hi.

MR. CUCCIA: -- folks see me?
THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. We
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can hear and see you. Thank you.
MR. CUCCIA: Great. You're
welcome. Thank you for letting me speak. I'll keep it brief. I was living down in the Battery Park City area during September 11, I've since moved to Chinatown and I've been a resident there for many years. I live and work in Chinatown now. I fully and absolutely support the 100 percent affordability proposal for the World Trade Center five site. We need affordable housing in New York.

It is horrific what has happened to affordable housing in this area. And I strongly recommend and support more affordable housing and better. And I think $\quad$ can simply leave it at that. Thank you so much for letting to speak, and have a good day all.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you so much for your testimony. Our next speaker will be Kate Cunningham. Kate Cunningham, you may let us know when you're ready to begin.

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Good afternoon. THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh. Kate,

I'm sorry. We were having some technical
difficulties. We can't hear you. Can you try
again? I'm sorry, Kate, we're unable to hear you. Now I believe you may have frozen.

Yes. And we lost you. So Kate Cunningham, if you or a representative is able to hear this. When you rejoin, please raise your hand and we will add you to the list.

So our next speaker will be Brian
Holland, who believe $I$ saw a few minutes ago. Brian Holland, you may let us know when you're ready to begin.

MR. HOLLAND: Hi. Good evening. My name is Brian Holland and $I$ serve as Vice President of Real Estate for Convene, a hospitality firm with over a million-and-a-half square feet of workplace and corporate meetings space. We are headquartered at 101 Greenwich Street and have over four locations in Lower Manhattan, all adjacent to the World Trade Center campus, including a 70,000 square-foot facility at Brookfield place.

Convene has a vested interest in
the health and vibrancy of Lower Manhattan. We strongly believe that the proposed project at 5 World Trade Center will help bring about a strong recovery by creating thousands of jobs, hundreds of
desperately needed mixed income residential units, in generally creating an economic engine for the Lower Manhattan community. I appreciate the opportunity to speak. Thank you very much.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much for your testimony. At this time, we have been joined by an additional -- excuse me. Just a moment. Sorry. We've been in -- we have been -- we joined by a priority speaker who will slot in next. Democratic District leader, Jen Hoppe. Jen Hope or Hoppe, if you're ready to speak, please let us know. You can go ahead and start.

MS. HOPPE: Am I showing? I'm sorry.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
MS. HOPPE: Having slight technical difficulties.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. We can see and hear you.

MS. HOPPE: Okay. Thank you.
And thank you for prioritizing me. I wasn't
expecting this at all. I'm honored in a way. I am
Jennifer Hoppe, a Democratic District leader for 66

Part A. I live downtown now, but I lived further uptown in 9/11, and $I$ was then a reporter who covered the events of the day. I was one of the people who highlighted the heroic acts of the man in the red bandanna, Welles Crowther. Welles along with hundreds of firefighters, including nearly 350 who lost their lives, acted with unfavorable bravery that day and hundreds more first responders. Valiantly cleaned up the site for months.

21 years later, we still rightly celebrate these heroes. But on the public land that is such sacred, sacred ground, we're prepared to designate it with luxury apartments. Do we think these brave men and women died or went on to suffer horrible illnesses so that millionaire's could park money on this land? Don't we honor these people by making this tower accessible to residents who served rescue workers meals at Nino's for months, but who have been synced, pushed out of the area because of the billions of 9/11 funds that have already gone to building luxury retail offices and yes, apartments that are already there.

We need 5 World Trade Center to
be affordable. It's not just a feasible thing to do. It's not just as smart thing to do. It's the right thing to do to honor the heroes that gave their lives right there. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your testimony. Our next speaker will be Logan Phares. Logan Phares, if you may let us know when you're ready to begin. Yes. I can see you.

MS. PHARES: Yes. Hi. Great.
My name is Logan Phares, I serve as a Political Director of Open New York an independent grassroots pro-housing organization. Thank you for the opportunity to testify in support of this project. Open New York works to bring about a New York that is affordable for all who wish to live here, including those who wish to stay in neighborhoods they already call home. And we realized this vision by advocating for abundant, affordable and quality housing. As such, in writing to express our firm support for the current proposal for World Trade Center five, as a mixed income residential Tower.

The 1,200 homes in this proposal will undoubtedly help to alleviate New York dire
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housing shortage. To put the proposal in context. Right now New Yorkers are facing rent increases up to 50, 60, 70 percent as rent discounts offered during the panoramic expire. Homelessness is at its highest rate since the Great Depression. And they are over 14,000 children who sleep in city shelters each night. The 300 affordable homes in this project would provide, and at no cost the city are desperately needed.

That said, we also believe the market rate, housing, and the project will help to similarly address the general shortage. From 2010 to 2020 -- or to 2010 to 2020 , New York City is population grew at double the rate that we build more housing. There's simply aren't enough homes for everyone who lives or wants to live in New York. This gap is the biggest driver and skyrocketing home and rental costs, more home building has fallen desperately behind levels that are needed to lower even stabilized prices.

In contrast, market rate housing
in 5 World Trade Center will help to put this process into reverse with 800 fewer households competing for preexisting housing in the city. We
must build more housing of all kinds. We feel it's necessary to point out that the highest construction costs are very high and believe the government subsidy money required to make this project 100 percent affordable would be better utilized in subsidizing additional housing in almost any other project.

According to New York Times, development of 5 World Trade Center would cost at
 of the housing crisis, and especially when are public housing faces billions and capital needs, we don't think that scarce public funding should go towards making this project 100 percent affordable. The current proposal has developers paying for affordable housing simply to build market rate housing alongside in and above median income neighborhood.

Allowing this project through would allow for government subsidies to go farther in other projects in below median income neighborhoods and maximize the amount of affordable housing city wide. Thank you for the opportunity to speak.
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THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your testimony. Our next speaker will be Pat Gray. Pat Gray, let us know when you're ready to begin. Hi. We can see you. And you can start when you're ready.

MS. GRAY: You can see me.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
MS. GRAY: I can't see myself on
my -- okay. I'm a member of the coalition for 100 percent permanently affordable housing and World Trade Center five. And $I$ know so far, there have been a number of speakers and there are, as inevitably there would be, a number of points to be discussed that will be repeated.

I think maybe this is an
opportunity to just get in there another point.
And that is from the viewpoint of the Battery Park City and related neighborhoods. And that is, we need to have all of the units in the World Trade Center five be for affordable housing. And we need, here, we need to see the people who move into those units and whose children attend schools with our children.

We need to be socially and
racially integrated. We're like this little hub down here that is almost exclusively high rent apartments. And because of that, we do not have a taste of New York, that a lot of the other neighborhoods do. A taste is probably a bad word, but we need to be integrated. We need our children to meet other children who are not exactly like them.

We need to share what we have.
We have so much to give to people who would move in to 5 World Trade Center. Vittoria Fariello, before listed some of the amenities that we have in addition to excellent school system. There is no reason why we shouldn't be allowed to have what we need for our children and for ourselves to be part of New York and -- and have the kind of opportunities other communities do, to work with and be friends with and live with people from all over.

We need this housing to be
affordable so that we get that opportunity. And we would love to be able to provide the opportunity to the people who move in. And that's just a different slant on the issue. Thank you for
letting me speak.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much for your testimony. Our next speaker will be Mariama James. Mariama James, I believe I saw you just a moment ago. Like to let us know when you're ready. Yeah, we can see you. And I think you're unmuted.

MS. JAMES: Okay. Great. Hi.
I'm Mariana James, I am a co-founder of the coalition for 100 percent Affordable 5 World Trade Center. I'm also a member of the World Trade Center stack, the scientific technical advisory committee, Community Board 1. I'm the co-chair of the Quality of Life Committee. And I'm also a member of the $9 / 11$ the World Trade -- the steering committee that's required under the D. Roger bill. So I just wanted to put that out there to be transparent.

But I'm testifying on behalf of myself as a private citizen and as a co-founder of the coalition. Obviously I'm here to say that I support 100 percent affordability of 5 World Trade Center. And $I$ think that this is important, not only for all the many reasons that we've already
heard, but for the diversity of the neighborhood. I am believed to be the last remaining black lifelong resident within Community Board 1 of my age.

We have been moved -- I mean, there was never a lot of us to begin with, but we've been moved out recently. Similarly, in Brooklyn, in Bed-Stuy which was predominantly a black neighborhood my whole childhood, home of the Notorious BIG, they have had over the past ten years, 33,000 black people leave -- I'm sorry, 23, 000 black people leave, be forced out, and 33,000 white people to move in. That was published.

Also within the past ten years in Community Board 1, we've gotten richer and whiter. As of 2020, we have a population of basically 70 percent white, four percent black, one percent indigenous. I'm also native, Cherokee, Catawba. So these are reasons why it's very important to me that we have 100 percent affordability. There is no demand. I mean -- you know, it's simple economics lol, supply and demand. There is no need for luxury apartments in Lower Manhattan.

## MGR REPORTING, INC.,

There are no rich white people outside, desperate for housing, you know, running around, looking for housing. If we're going to supply a need, the need is among the working class. The need is among people of color. The need is among 9/11 survivors and first responders. The need is among our teachers, our firefighters, our police people, our -- the people that provide our services. They deserve to be able to live in Lower Manhattan, like the rest of us, where they work. And that's what we want for them.

And we want to be able to live together and desegregate our neighborhood. And as public land, it should not be segregated. The -according to the Civil Rights Act of 1964 , it is against the law to segregate public land. And that's what we'd be potentially doing if we make an apartment that is 80 percent luxury -- an apartment building that is 80 percent luxury, that we know, people of color and low-income people and working class people cannot afford. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much for your testimony. Our next speaker -so I just want to briefly say -- at -- we have two
to three more speakers on this slot in this list. And then we will plan to take a ten minute break. This is for our interpreters and our stenographer, to have a short break. And then we will reconvene to continue with the list of speakers after that short break.

But we'll finish the remaining speakers on this slide, starting with Grace A. Capobianco. Grace Capobianco, if you are --

MS. CAPOBIANCO: Yes. Hi. Can you hear me?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. We can hear you. You can --

MS. CAPOBIANCO: Hi. Thank you. Thank you for the opportunity to speak. I've lived in Battery Park City for 21 years and $I$ am a business owner. I actually came down here just before 9/11 and had to wait after 9/11 to move here. And then $I$ started a magazine to help this area come back from the devastation and help businesses have a platform so that they could tell their stories, and also the leaders of our area. So they could tell the stories on what they've done for this area. So I definitely am embedded into
this neighborhood and community.
I do believe we need to have affordable housing. Absolutely. We also need to have the completion of World Trade Center five. It's been 21 years since 9/11. And each year that goes by it does not get any easier for families who've lost their loved ones and friends.

Mr. Silverstein did lead the mission to bring this community back to the downtown area, back to life. And we've had many developers follow after that. He's made a $24 / 7$ work-life lived community. And $I$ feel that $I$ know how safe I feel when I'm around this area. I'm very thankful for that.

What I do believe is that we do need affordable housing, but $I$ don't fair -- think it's fair that we go to one particular developer. I think if we want to have affordable housing, we should certainly look at every other building down here that -- where affordable housing can be and make it affordable. But I don't believe that we should take 100 percent of the building at World Trade Center five and make it affordable.

And $I$ feel for everyone, $I$
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understand what's happened down here. Like I said, I'm a business owner, but I also think we really need to look at the statistics and the other buildings that are around here. In addition, if you're going to have affordable housing down here, we need to have more diversity down here, I agree with that.

But then you also need to look at the restaurants, the dog grooming, movie tickets. We had someone on here from Convene office space. I can't even afford to have an office space and I'm a business owner. So we need to look at every other area if we're going to make it affordable, to make it 100 percent affordable, and not just choose one building to go after. So thank you so much for your time, and $I$ appreciate it.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you
very much for your testimony. Our next speaker may be absent. I'll call Justine Cuccia. Justin

Cuccio, if you are in the meeting, please raise your hand or dial star nine. And we will actually reinsert you in the list if you joined.

So our next speaker will be Lucy
West. Oh, okay. Edward Cuccia. Yeah.

## MGR REPORTING, INC.,

MR. CUCCIA: Hi. How are you? If you can hear me?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
MR. CUCCIA: My sister is -Justine is my sister. She is the actual the chairperson of the Community Board 1 Battery Park City Committee, which is meeting at this moment. If I am permitted, she's given me a very short statement. I can speak on her behalf. Would that be permitted?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. Yeah. That's fine. Go ahead.

MR. CUCCIA: I'll keep it super short. She -- Justine supports 100 percent, the affordable housing, the coalition for 100 percent affordable housing. She says -- Justine says, the coalition's plan should be a model for all future development projects in New York City. It brings low, moderate, and middle income folks to live in the areas where they work. And again, she supports 100 percent affordable housing. Thank you very much. And have a good day all. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much. So we will move to Lucy West? Lucy
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West, if you are -- yes. We see you and you can unmute yourself and began when you're ready.

MS. WEST: I work -- I mean, I
work and live down in Lower Manhattan. I actually live on the edge of Chinatown, near the Brooklyn bridge. And the -- I 100 percent support 100 percent low-income affordable housing. Battery Park City is, like, rich persons playground. The people around my neighborhood have no place to play, number one, and then there's nowhere to live. So this idea that we need to not pick one building or there's not enough money for other affordable housing. This is an opportunity to make over 1,000 units available to people so that they can live in the city and live downtown, so that we can actually recover from 9/11. I was here then. I was working in the schools that were abandon -- that had to be evacuated. And the buildings and buildings and buildings that went up in Battery Park City, and not one of them have affordable housing.

It's time to stop the nonsense of
building all these units for wealthy people in the whole area there. Not only Battery Park City, but
now, you know, across from Battery Park City. The -- all the buildings that went up have been for wealthy people. It's time to build housing for people like us, teachers. I was a teacher.

It's time to stop the nonsense of
just building and putting lots of money into realtors pockets and using -- this is -- there's government money here. Should be -- the biggest, biggest issue we have in this city is homelessness. They want to build more homeless shelters. We -if we had affordable housing, you might not need so many homeless shelters. It's time to attend to that issue, 100 percent. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Great.
Thank you very much for your testimony. We are going to call one more speaker who has joined us, who wasn't able to speak earlier. And then we will go to our short break. And so that will be Taina Prodo. Taina Prado, you may --

MS. PRADO: Yes. Yes. I'm here.
Do you hear me?
THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. We can hear you. Go ahead.

MS. PRADO: Thank you so much. I
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appreciate fitting me in, so much. So my name is Taina Prado, I'm Chief of Staff for the Downtown Alliance, and I'm going to read a short statement, our testimony for tonight.

Over the last 20 years, Lower Manhattan has experienced tremendous renaissance and transformation. What was once an overwhelmingly commercial district is now a vibrant mixeduse community, home to over 63,000 residents and a diverse mix of over 1,000 restaurants and retailers. The rebuilding other World Trade Center campus has been at the heart of the metamorphosis. Brookfield Properties and Silverstein Properties proposed development project for Site 5 would help complete the Trade Center to redevelopment and contribute greatly to Lower Manhattan's long-term success and prosperity.

The Downtown Alliance has long advocated for the development of Lower Manhattan into a true mixed-use district. The residential and retail uses being proposed at Site 5 are consistent with the broader planning principles that have guided Lower Manhattan's two decade loan recovery from the September lith attacks. Bringing

> new residents into the area is more important now than ever before, to provide a larger, consistent customer base for our local retailers and restaurants.

We believe the proposed project,
that $\operatorname{Site} 5$, is a thoughtful response to the needs of our community. Especially the permanent affordable housing component, and is consistent with the longstanding and broadly supported planning goals that have helped shape Lower Manhattan over the last two decades. The building will provide 1,200 residential rental apartments, of which 25 percent are approximately 300 , would be permanently affordable.

In addition, 5 World Trade Center
is projected to create 10,000 construction jobs, $1,900--$ and 1,900 permanent jobs, generating 1.9 billion in economic output. On behalf of our board of directors, the Downtown Alliance strongly encourages the Empire State Development Corporation to approve the development project at 5 World Trade Center.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you
for your testimony. Given at a record pace. Okay.
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At this time, we will take a short ten minute break.

Again, this break is important for our ASL interpreters and our stenographer to be able to continue with their incredible work. And so the time is now 6:24. We will reconvene at 6:34.

If you signed up to speak and you have not yet had your turn, we still have your name on the list. We have a -- at this time six more registered speakers. And we will be continuing with the same order of speakers when we return at -- well, now, I guess, 6:35 p.m. So we will begin a ten minute break now, the time is 6:25. We will reconvene at 6:35. And there will be a count down clock showing if you want to check. Thank you.
(At 6:26 p.m., the hearing was
temporarily recessed.)
(At 6:35 p.m., the hearing
resumed.)
THE HEARING OFFICER: Welcome
back to the World Trade Center Site 5, public hearing. I'll say that again, now that we have the interpreter back. Welcome back to the World Trade

Center Site 5, public hearing. I believe we have -- we currently have two ASL interpreters visible. If one of you would like to turn off your video. Thank you.

Great. All right. So we will reconvene. Thank you for your patience while we took a short break. We will now reconvene with the World Trade Center Site 5, public hearing. And we have, our next list of speakers will include Michael Kramer, Victoria Hillstrung -- Hillstrom, excuse me. Vanessa Thill, Jill Goodkind. A phone number which ends with the digits 874, and David Fleming.

So Michael Kramer, please let us know when you're ready to speak.

MR. KRAMER: Okay. Thank you. My name is Michael Kramer. I've lived or worked in Lower Manhattan throughout most of my career and I was there on Vesey Street when the first plane went into the first building. I think it's important to emphasize the history of the World Trade Center Site. Originally -- and I'm representing the Seaport Coalition.

Originally, the World Trade

Center was going to be on the east side of Lower Manhattan, on the -- off of the East River, just below Schermerhorn Row. And then the governor of New Jersey decided it had to be closer to the PATH train and they moved it over to the existing site. When they moved it over to the existing site, they used eminent domain and they displaced 300 small businesses.

That site was known as Radio Row in the 19 -- from 1921 to 1966 . Between Radio Row and Little Syria, all of these businesses were just thrown away. They were offered $\$ 3,000$ by the Port Authority. And this master plan was created by David and Nelson Rockefeller. It's important to know that the reason that they used condom -- they condemned the site and used eminent domain was for, extensively, a public purpose.

Now the public purses -- purpose
they came up with was that a World Trade Center had something to do with commerce, and commerce had something to do with transportation for the Port Authority. Now the Port Authority should not be in the real estate business, they should be in the transportation business.

The reason that there's a public -- there was a public taking of these sites was because they had a public purpose. What more could you ask for than 100 percent affordable housing to be a public purpose? I know real estate. I know that if the land costs is low, it's possible to create a building that can be less heavily subsidized.

The purchase price of land is usually the biggest cost that you have in putting a project together. In this situation the coalition has shown that this can be done. And $I$ strongly urge the commissioners to remember the commitment that was made to the public when this land was condemned, that it would have a public purpose. Thank you for your time.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much for your testimony. Our next speaker will be Victoria Hillstrom. Victoria Hillstrom, let us know when you're ready to begin. Hi. We can see you and hear you.

MS. HILLSTROM: Hello, everyone.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Hello.
MS. HILLSTROM: Can you hear me?
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THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
MS. HILLSTROM: Wonderful. My name is Victoria Hillstrom. You had it right in the first place. I am a member of the five World Trade at coalition for 100 percent affordable housing. I, along with Todd, find it absolutely abhorrent that you have developers and the people that seek to profit testifying. We don't really care what Open New York has to say. This is public land.

I think that many of us, and $I$ should say, that we are residents of Lower Manhattan since 1982. Our lots are at the corner of 385 Greenwich and aka 71 North Moore. Our life savings are invested in these buildings. We found body parts on our roofs.

I think that all of us remember 9/11 when we said we would never forget. And this really seems as if it is a fraud conversion, fraud conveyance. I personally would like the President and the HUD Commissioner -- Secretary Fudge to sign their names, that in fact, we can use HUD owned land in order to build luxury housing. 40 percent of all new development has never been occupied
since pre-pandemic. Our malls are at 50 percent vacancy. Our 21 -- Lucky 21 rent stabilized buildings are being marketed at market rate.

And it just, for whatever reason, Larry Silverstein seems to think that he's entitled to this land. He is not. I would argue. And I find it to be most offensive that you-all thought that you would re-zone SoHo, destroy the seaports with 250 Water, filled with lofts, filled with creatives in fashion, film, music, entertainment, and the art that require live, workspace, and art wash Lower Manhattan.

We -- art does not happen in a shed. We heard this at The Shed. And the CFDA pulled fashion week out. And so we just very strongly oppose this project. We would very seriously like Joe Biden and the Secretary of HUDS signature, before this property is ever fraudulently conveyed to the Port Authority or Larry Silverstein and we really will not take no for an answer. Thank you very much.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much for your testimony. Our next speaker will be Vanessa Thill. Vanessa Thill, please let
us know when you're ready.
MS. THILL: Hi.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Hi.

MS. THILL: Hi. My name is
Vanessa Thill, $I$ am an organizer with a group called Art Against Displacement. We are a member of the coalition to protect Chinatown and Lower East Side. And we organize with artists and arts workers against displacement. So that's where we're coming from. We are supportive of 100 percent affordability and we reject the current proposal.

Our organizing has been in solidarity with grassroots tenants for organizing for control of our lives and homes. And that includes community lead solutions such as the 100 percent affordable plan.

Specifically, I want to speak to the arts and culture aspect of the proposal. You know, we affirmed that gentrification and is not an inevitable effect of urban development. And we refused to let the work of cultural producers be instrumentalized toward the displacement of long-term residents and businesses. And so that's
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a framework that we use, and $I$ thank you to Victoria, for bringing up the art washing aspect. I'd like to just call out Jenna Chrisphonte's testimony from performing arts center as, you know, an amazing opportunity for community of artists. Same goes to the speaker from Downtown Alliance and Educational Alliance on these kinds of, you know, commitments to community and these words. You know, you have to actually put actions behind these words. And, you know, if you're looking for community engagement, here it is. And the best thing that you can do for culture is cheap rent. So thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you
for your testimony. Our next speaker will be Jill Goodkind. Jill Goodkind, let us know when you're ready to begin.

MS. GOODKIND: I am ready to
begin. And I apologize because the camera on my computer is not working.

THE HEARING OFFICER: That's no
problem. We can hear --

MS. GOODKIND: Okay.

THE HEARING OFFICER: -- you
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perfectly.
MS. GOODKIND: Great. First of all, I'm dedicating my testimony today, in honor of my late husband, Tom Goodkind, who died from 9/11 cancer in 2019 . Tom has been advocating -- or had been advocating for decades, decades, to make 5 World Trade Center 100 percent affordable. I am a member of the coalition for 100 percent affordability. And this request to make this building 100 percent affordable, it's not new. This goes back decades.

This desire to make this 100 percent affordable is not new to LMDC. In fact, when neighbors were surveyed shortly after 9/11, affordable housing was one of the top priorities for this community. This area is -- has been said, has lost thousands and thousands of units of affordable housing, while luxury buildings supported by the government through Liberty bonds have sprung up. There is no need for luxury housing at 5 World Trade Center. There is no need for new affordable -- for new luxury housing in this community.

```
LMDC in no way fulfilled its
```

obligation, its stated obligation, to create affordable housing. They received tens of millions of dollars to do this. And to the best of my knowledge, no new affordable housing was created in the Community Board 1 zone, at all, during the 21 years that LMDC was in existence. Transferring this property now will make it harder to increase the affordability at 5 World Trade Center. So I am 100 percent against voting to transfer this property. There is a self-imposed deadline to do this. LMDC would like to close down. I'm sorry, but LMDC has not fulfilled its obligation to this community to build affordable housing. They have, I think it's over $\$ 100$ million left that they would like to give to, I believe it's the memorial rather than to affordable housing. Their desire and their commitment to create more affordable housing just is not evident.

I keep hearing, you know, from other people who seem to be pro this development. But Downtown Alliance has executives from Silverstein and Brookfield on their board. Real Estate Board of New York, obviously, Larry

Silverstein is one of their Board of Governors. Other people have a vested interest in the Silverstein plan. But $I$ am hoping that the agencies are listening to the elected and the people on this call. The need is desperate.

This site, the eyes of the world are on this site. New York City needs this housing. Please listen to the electeds, listen to the people. 100 percent affordable 5 World Trade Center is desperately needed. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much for your testimony. Our next registered speaker is a phone number that ends in 874, but we are not sure that that participant is still with us. If you are -- if you desire to speak and you are from the phone number that ends in 874 , please dial star nine on your keypad, and so we'll get a notification that you want to speak and can find you. Okay. And $I$ believe that was actually our final registered speaker.

So we have now called all of the speakers who registered to speak tonight who are actually present on the meeting. And so at this time, if you have already spoken or if you have not
yet spoken, we can take additional speakers, because we have sufficient time left. So if you would -- if you have not yet spoken or if you have already had given your testimony, but you would like to speak again, please use the raise hand function or you may dial star nine on your keypad if you're joining us by phone, and we will add your name to the speaker list. We do that kind of in real time.

We've had a few participants
raise their hand. So give us just a moment to add -- to get your name and add it to our speaker list, and then I'll call the first new speaker.

Okay. At this time we've had four participants. We're happy to take more. But I'll read the names of the four participants who have requested to speak at this time. Those names are Laura Tenenbaum, Victoria Hillstrom, Todd Fine, Grace A. Capobianco.

So at this time, Laura Tenenbaum, you may turn on your video, and let us know when you're ready to begin speaking. Hi. We can see you. You can unmute yourself.

MS. TENENBAUM: Hi. I'm sorry.
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I'm trying to do two Zooms at the same time. So this is driving me nuts.

THE HEARING OFFICER: I
understand. Hi. You may begin. We can hear you. MS. TENENBAUM: Yeah. I want to be brief, but $I$ want to -- I live north of Canal Street. But that first plane flew over my head. And I am a member of the World Trade Center Health Group because $I$ have various medical issues due to 9/11.

I feel very strongly that LMDC has an open commitment that it has not yet filled, which is to create affordable housing in our Lower Manhattan community. And World Trade Center, 5 World Trade Center is the absolute best place and the only place this can be done. That we're taking public land and giving it for private use is unthinkable at this point, in the condition that our city is under and the need for affordable housing.

And $I$ just want to point out, when you take a large building and make it primarily market rate, and then just put in a few affordable housing units, you're not increasing
diversity, you're doing the absolute opposite. We need more diversity in Lower Manhattan. We need affordable housing, and we need 5 World Trade Center to be 100 percent affordable. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much for your testimony. Okay. At this time, we'll move to our next speaker, Victoria Hillstrom. Victoria Hillstrom, you may let us know when you're ready to begin.

MS. HILLSTROM: Hello.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah.
MS. HILLSTROM: Can you hear me? THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. We can.

MS. HILLSTROM: Hi. I -- I also just wanted to add, you know, Ron Perelman and Dr. Anna Chapman are very good friends. Ron loves, you know, to be the first to the party. He will be persona non grata in Lower Manhattan. This is not art. And $I$ would just like to say that if it is true over these bids, what we're really talking about is an extension of operation bid rig. Which is the US state's attorney and FBI investigation, where it is very, very serious that Larry
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Silverstein and Michael Bloomberg and Ron Perelman seemed to have helped themselves to this property. We just want to say that if this building is not 100 percent affordable housing, it is changed who we are and the terrorist have won. We also feel that LMDC has not done their duty. We absolutely will not accept this. There was $\$ 40$ billion to rebuild Lower Manhattan after 9/11. It went to Navy Yards, it went to Bank One, it went to New York Times.

We are here to say enough is
enough. And really, we don't believe that, in fact, this land is being legally conveyed to Larry Silverstein. And we really very, very much are opposed to this. Public land is for public good. And unless HUD signs off on luxury development on public land, we want this project stopped immediately. Thank you very much.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much for your testimony. Our next speaker will be Todd Fine. Todd Fine, let us know when you're ready to begin.

MR. FINE: Thank you. Yes. I'm
ready to begin.
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THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.

MR. FINE: And I'm really glad that this issue of the Ronald Perelman center is coming up tonight. Because I really, I think this is very important that people understand why these supposedly cultural institutions are so interested in a real estate deal. And, you know, the reason for that is that the MOU, this vaunted MOU, that everybody treats like the Bible, from 2006, says that, you know, at some point in time, there could be a lease agreement for these two entities of their land.

Now there's really no reason why a non-profit needs all these acres of public land. Like, I'm been begging for two years for these agencies to say, why is it a good idea to give land owned to the Port Authority, to these private non-profits? I mean, what's the reason that they could cancel the First Amendment? That it's kind of nice for them to own the land? You know, or maybe because they want to build real estate someday, or they just see land as power. I mean, that's the only thing $I$ can think of.

So, you know, this Perelman
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non-profit didn't disclose that when this deal is done, they potentially get fee interest as a lease option to their land. And the Bloomberg non-profit, the memorial gets the same thing. Why is that? Why do they need that? Can somebody tell me? I mean, that in itself is a huge, you know, taking of the government from this deal. And in terms of what the government will benefit is $\$ 12.5$ million.

I'm glad we have more time to think about that, because we know that $\$ 12$ million, maybe -- you know, we added two percent increase at a time of ten percent inflation, maybe only starting for five years. We don't actually know when the 12.5 percent starts accumulating that this great two percent is only balanced. And the reason they have to balance it because they know it's going to be inadequate. So they balance it with this cash flow idea that some percentage -- 21 percent of the cash flow, if that's higher than this 12.5 percent, the Port Authority might be able to claim that.

Who's going to decide the cash
flow? These developers. They're going to have every accounting trick in the book. Get to pay
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people millions of dollars to figure out how to show their cash flow is not very significant. Is that -- I mean, is that normal? That -- so we're going to have to have the Port Authority going over scrutinizing LMDC -- the Larry Silverstein's books every year, just to get the rent for a property on government land?

Can somebody explain to me why
that's a good idea? Especially with this two percent increase garbage? I mean, that's not even indexed to CPI. Why would we do this? This is just -- this is just a corrupt, bad deal. And I wish, you know, if we have a hearing -- this is supposed to be public hearing.

Why don't they tell us why it's a
good deal? Because we know this $\$ 12.5$ million is so important. There's no other way to support the Port Authority in New York besides take away affordable housing. And we're not even told why that's a good deal. So, you know, it's really on these agencies who are putting up all this mumbo-jumbo, and also going to get tax credits that far surpasses the rent. So the governments going to lose from this. And it's just a shame. And I
didn't know why you don't see it.
This is the destruction of the new deal. This is the destruction of everything that New York City stood for. That why we created these agencies. Why these liberal institutions existed. Like the ESD, which was created to promote government and development and help people. But is now become a tool of enriching real estate. And the only people who supported are lobbyists. That's all the testimony we get in support of government seizing property supposedly for this public benefit. But you can't --

THE HEARING OFFICER: My apologies, Mr. Fine. But the -- you have exceeded the three minutes allotted for each person's testimony. And so please feel free to submit additional testimony via e-mail or mail to the sites listed on the slides that are going by.

Thank you very much for your testimony. Our next speaker will be Grace A. Capobianco. Grace A. Capobianco, feel free to let us know when you're ready to begin.

MS. CAPOBIANCO: Yes. Hi. Yes.
Can you hear me?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. We can. Go ahead.

MS. CAPOBIANCO: Thanks again. Sorry. Had two calls coming in at the same time I was speaking. I wanted to make sure that I make my point clear. I feel that there's so much being said on this call and $I$ appreciate and respect everything everyone's saying.

But I think that people forget what happened after 9/11. That we had nothing down here. This area was devastated and we had these developers that came in and started building immediately. And $I$ feel that we would not be where we are today in this beautiful, safe community with beautiful schools, expensive real estate, expensive clothing stores and shops, if you didn't want to live here. But we wouldn't have that if this area wasn't built back by these developers, namely, the start was Mr. Silverstein.

I also feel that 25 percent of
this building for affordable housing is more than any other building has given or has taken down here in this community. So I don't understand, when $I$ hear people talking about it, but $I$ haven't heard
them talk about affordable housing years and years ago. But -- so why is it that we don't go and sit down and really have a discussion on where affordable housing can be put in place?

I also feel that that area
brought many, many businesses, corporations down here that helped our area grow. Everyone here is benefiting from it. So $I$ feel that we really need to sit and look at what is on the table and how we can go about helping. But again, to take away the -- because if we don't take the 25 percent, Mr. Silverstein, and the whole building development, they can turn around and do a commercial building. And then where are we at? That's 25 percent that you lost, that you didn't have.

Again, it's 300 apartments that $I$ think will really help people. So that's my comment. And $I$ thank you again for listening. THE HEARING OFFICER: Excuse me. Thank you very much for your testimony. So we have two additional speakers who have asked to speak. Joan Blessing and Lucy West.

So our next speaker will be Joan Blessing (phonetic). If you want to let us know
when you're ready to begin. Yeah. We can see you and you're unmuted. So go ahead.

MS. BLESSING: Thank you very
much. I'm a resident of Battery Park City. I cannot speak as authoritative leaders eloquently, and some of my neighbors. But $I$ just want to say that given the fact that affordable housing in our area is practically nonexistent, and the need is so high. And we have a moral imperative, $I$ think, to provide housing for the first responders.

As a resident, $I$ just want to add
my name to those who are supporting 100 percent affordable housing for this site. I think that the developers have done a fine job. We appreciate that. They reaping financial rewards from what they've done so far. And I think this building should be held 100 percent affordable. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much for your testimony. Our next speaker will be Lucy West. Lucy West, you may let us know when you're ready to begin.

MS. WEST: I'm ready. I just
wanted to add, and $I$ think the last speaker was quite eloquent. I worked in the schools when -- in

PS234, which was right next to the World Trade Center when the first bombing hit. And obviously it hadn't moved when the second bomb hit. I'm talking about the 1990 something bomb. And then $I$ was working at PS89, which is right at Battery Park City when the actual $9 / 11$ happened. And $I$ lived downtown and lived here during that time as well. What people don't -- I think may not realize is when PS234 started, it was an integrated school. And it was designed to be that way. We wanted to have children from, you know, every socio-economic class and race and whatever. By the time I left being assistant principal there and moved over to 89, we had a very, very hard time making it anything but a rich white school. And PS89 and 289 are basically rich white schools.

So what has happened in Battery
Park City and the other schools that are over
there, are essentially white, wealthy kids coming to school and getting almost a private school education. That's called segregating your schools. And New York City is now known as one of the most segregated city in -- cities in terms of schools. Putting in affordable housing, 100 percent
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affordable housing will help de-segregate those schools and will give other children an opportunity to get the quality of education that other kids are getting. So that's one notion.

The fact that there's all these upscale stores and -- absolutely, the people who build them, thank you for building them. However, you're making a ton of money and most of us cannot afford to buy what's in those stores. So
affordable housing, again, may bring in affordable stores. We don't have a supermarket down here that -- they just -- there are none really. We have to go out of Lower Manhattan except over at Battery Park City, right? You know, Whole Foods.

There need to be places where people can afford things. And people who are making a median income can get housing and live in Manhattan. I moved here 60 years ago. Most people cannot afford to even move to Manhattan anymore. Never mind Lower Manhattan. Never mind Battery Park City.

So it's time to build affordable housing and there's an opportunity here. And it is government money. Why is it -- why does it have to
go to other people? It's government money. So anyway, $I$ just want to restate that and bring in the education idea because that's not been stated. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much for your testimony. Our next speaker will be Mariama James. Mariama James, you may let us know when you're ready to begin.

MS. JAMES: Thanks. I'd actually
taken my hand down because I'm going to be late now for my own meeting I'm supposed to be co-chairing.

But as a 9/11 survivor, $I$ was becoming disturbed hearing all of this defensive buildings as if developers have done us a favor. Of course, we're thankful for the redevelopment, but it wasn't for free. They didn't just say, oh, we feel bad for you we're going to put some buildings here. They literally got billions and billions of dollars to rebuild Lower Manhattan. And part of that original charter did include affordable housing that we've yet to see.

And I just want to agree with the person that was speaking about the schools. That's one of our premises and purposes in creating the
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coalition. The developers didn't give us that either. The parents, the community, we literally built, raise the money and built Millennium High School ourselves after 9/11. I was one of the people. I was there. So I know.

I was on the PTA of 234. I was
the first, second, and community vice president at one time or another. I was in the SLT. We got money from 9/11 for that PTA, and we budgeted that money and held onto that money for so many years to make sure that we could spread it wide. I mean, nobody was doing us a favor.

The parents, the people, the community members, we are who built -- rebuilt Lower Manhattan. And, you know, many of us lost family members, you know, our -- and friends. Not just on that day, but continued to over the years. So it's really, really insulting to have to sit here and listen to somebody go on and on about buildings and developers, you know, like we need to protect buildings. And it's not fair to come down on one building. Like, really. Let's prioritize lives and human beings, please. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you
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for your testimony. I will just briefly check. Excuse me. We do have a few additional speakers who have -- who would like to speak, and you will have an opportunity to speak again. But I just wanted to give one an additional opportunity. If there's anyone who has not yet spoken or who has spoken once, please, you raise your hand now. We do have some speakers who'd like to speak again and you're welcome to. But just want to give one an additional opportunity if there's anyone who would like to speak who has not yet spoken or who has spoken one time. Please raise your hand or if you're joining us via phone, dial star nine.

Okay. At this time we'll call
Pat Gray. Pat Gray, you may begin when you're ready.

MS. GRAY: Hi. Can you hear me? THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. We can. Go ahead.

MS. GRAY: Hi. So I did speak a little earlier and $I$ talked about the integration socially and all other ways down here in Battery Park City. But $I$ did just want to kind of go back
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to the thing that had been brought up before. And that was how the Rockefeller brothers were the ones who had this great idea that Downtown New York should be this hub, this wonderful business hub, and it would attract major corporations and there will be a lot of money involved in this. And in the end, although their original site they were looking at was on the east side. It did come over here instead.

But in order to come over here, there was a displacement, as a previous speaker noted, of, like, the -- what was called the radio hub. And the displacement of so many immigrant families who had moved in down here when it was affordable, who ran small businesses, et cetera. They were displaced.

It sort of reminded me of the Robert Moses displacement. All the projects that he took over. So, like, for example the corresponds Expressway, all these communities were either split in half or made un-affordable for the people who were living there. It was as though the central purpose of the thing just over came everything that was personal and human. And I kind
of think that right now what we're in is an opportunity to ebb human experience and need back into something that is a huge operation.

And so I do firmly support. I'm a member of the coalition for 100 percent affordable World Trade Center. I think it's time for major construction sites and -- and $I$ don't know. It's time to take into account the human factor. What do we need in these areas? Besides money making opportunities or someone's idea of the best way to run transit.

We need to pay attention to the human factor. And I just think that the coalition is fighting for that human factor. And I'm really delighted to be part of it. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much for your testimony. So at this time, we have three more speakers who have requested to speak, who have spoken, but have another
opportunity to speak. And then at that time, we will move towards, in about ten minutes, taking another short break for our stenographer and our NR and ASL interpreters.

So at this time, the next speaker
will be Victoria Hillstrom. Victoria Hillstrom, let us know when you're ready to speak.

MS. HILLSTROM: Hello. Can you hear me?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. We can.

MS. HILLSTROM: Okay. Wonderful.
I just wanted to speak to the notion that the developers have done such a lovely job. Just to be very clear, there are 46 buildings, in our case alone, with accidents and fires that the city illegally vacated, that had been under investigation for over ten years. There was the $\$ 20$ million illegal Airbnb scheme being run in our loss that corporate console filed. There are class actions, littering Lower Manhattan, where there are illegal MCIs and every single 21 of the rent stabilized buildings are being illegally rent -marketed at market rate.

Grace is either from Tribeca
Citizen or Tribeca Tribune. They are both backed. And their advertisements come from the real estate and hospitality industry. So Grace, with all due respect, you have nothing to contribute to this
conversation. The fact of the matter is even my wealthy neighbors are leaving. Nathan Lane, left. Many of our very wealthy, very famous neighbors have left Tribeca.

Half of Tribeca is being
warehoused. We have plenty of luxury housing.
Nothing to mitigate the fact that this is government land and it is meant for public good. It's HUD owned land. And the notion that it went from HUD to Port Authority is what is in question here.

And if anybody knows me, our
matters Tish James, the FBI, we will put this matter under US state's attorney and FBI investigation. It is extremely questionable, where that $\$ 40$ billion went. There is a half $\$ 1$ billion of building fraud in our case alone. The developers have been absolutely atrocious, where our neighbors are literally filing lawsuits in one neighborhood after the other. So the notion that the developers have contributed anything to the community is absolutely hog wash.

And with all due respect, Tobi, be very prepared to be under investigation. We
will not miss. This is an extension of operation bid rig in Deal, New Jersey. We -- it absolutely resonates in Lower Manhattan. We have the illegal handbag trade. It's atrocious what is going on in Lower Manhattan, where most of our rent stabilized buildings, buildings that are rent stabilized, as a matter of law, has been illegally converted to market rate.

This matter is not over. We put
Daniel Goldman in office for a reason. And we will
not allow this project to be anything but 100 percent affordable housing. And anybody that knows me knows, I do not miss when $I$ come out swinging. There is something very sinister, very illegal.

And whatever Michael Bloomberg has done, he can take out his checkbook and undo the damage. The - -

THE HEARING OFFICER: Excuse me.

I'm very sorry. You have actually exceeded your allotted three minutes for this speaking time. And so we'll need to move onto the next speaker. Our next speaker at this time will be Todd Fine.

MR. FINE: Hello.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Todd, you
may begin when you're ready.

## MGR REPORTING, INC.,

MR. FINE: Sorry for taking so much time in this hearing, but there's some important points to still bring up. And I'm -well, first, $I$ want to say, I'm really glad that the Rockefellers, the David Rockefeller, Nelson Rockefeller are being talked about tonight because I really think this, what's happened here is a test of their legacy. It's kind of like if -- did the institutions that they create fulfill their liberal promise or they being captured?

And $I$ think the way the Downtown
Alliance is conceiving of David Rockefeller's legacy, Nelson Rockefeller's legacy tonight is such an insult. You know, one of the things that $I$ came across when $I$ was looking through the Lower Manhattan plan of 1965 , which set this whole thing in motion. You know, that was kind of the last gas of the Moses idea of -- you know, of big development. But it was still part of the new deal vision. I think we have to remember that.

You know, the vision for Battery Park City was middle class housing. And what's so interesting about the world -- when the World Trade Center started to be conceived of on the west side.

They actually, if you can believe it, were planning, like, many World Trade Centers. Like they wanted to -- I mean, I would've hated it, but they really wanted to demolish the whole Lower west Side, what we call Little Syria, which was demolished for the big chunk of it for the tunnel. But they wanted to demolish even more.

But if you actually read the
Lower Manhattan plan of 1965 , the vision is middle class housing, it is not luxury housing. The Rockefeller vision of Lower Manhattan is not, is not to build luxury condos and Omega campus for Larry Silverstein. It's just not. And what is -what the Downtown Alliance is doing and what this - - this perversion of the World Trade Center ideal is doing, is just -- it's just embarrassing New York and this class of politicians. And it's just never going to be forgotten.

It's going to be the cleanest story for historian to right of the corruption of the liberal dream of the 20 th century. And it's 100 year story basically that we're seeing, unfortunately, the end of now in just a naked, you know, blow off phase. Take what you can, capture
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government, use lobbyist, play book. And it's a shame.

And $I$ really want people to understand the gravity of what's happening here. Because you guys are just doing your job, but you're really, like, symbolically putting Dakota on the New York LaGuardia, new vision deal. I mean, that's kind of what's happening tonight. And I'm just shocked.

And the reason why. You know, a lot of people say, why does he care so much? Why am I so involved in this? Well, it's because I studied Arab American literature. I study American history. And this area is one of the most important working class neighborhoods in American history that the government has destroyed through eminent domain actions like are being contemplated tonight. The World Trade Center, Brookfield, Battery tunnel. And now you have one little site left.

You have overwhelming demand from
every politician, all community members for affordable housing, yet you are choosing money and you-all are leaving government. And then you go
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work for the same corporations, like Mehul Patel, who's on the Board of LMDC, and now working for Rouden, which doesn't want World Trade Center. It's disgusting how this board of corporation -THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I'm sorry. I was trying to give you a warning. But you've exceeded your allotted time at this time. And so, you know, please feel free to submit additional testimony.

We do have another speaker who has requested to speak, Grace Capobianco. Grace Capobianco, let us know when you're ready to begin. MS. CAPOBIANCO: Yes. Hi. Can you hear me?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. We can.

MS. CAPOBIANCO: I'm sorry. I
have to defend myself here by -- I can't remember the lady's name. First of all, I own a magazine called, Downtown. I do not have money. No one's given me money to start this Magazine. I don't work for a Tribeca Tribune or whatever other publication it is. I took my money, my personal money after 9/11, and $I$ put it in a magazine to
give this area a platform. Everyone here, to give them a platform, because no one ever took the time to do that before when it comes to publications, other than the Broadsheet which is a great publication.

So I do not get paid to do this. No-ones asked me to do this. I feel serious about what I'm saying because $I$ feel it's not fair that everyone goes after one developer. The developer who's actually paying for the building. They're not getting government money. But yet you've got all these other buildings down here. And we all know that. And they have government money to do that.

THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm sorry, Grace. Grace, I apologize for interrupting, but your voice has gotten very faint and $I$ don't think the stenographer will be able to get it -- get the recording.

MS. CAPOBIANCO: Can you hear me now?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. Much better. Thank you.

MS. CAPOBIANCO: I'm sorry. So
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1

2
what $I$ was saying was. I don't think it's fair that we go after one developer. The developers that are paying for this building and asking them to give 100 percent of the building. What I do feel is fair. I do feel that we should go after a lot of the buildings that were built here that did take a lot of government money and that did not give any affordable housing. That is my point here.

And as $I$ said earlier, if you didn't hear me, $I$ am not getting paid to do this. I own a magazine. I have -- it's called a labor of love for me. I have not made a dime from this magazine. I put my heart and soul into it, seven days a week for the past 15 years because I want to give this area platform.

But even if you do bring people down here and $I$ think we should have people to -lives here, affordable housing. I certainly don't make more than $\$ 50,000$ a year. But the bottom line is, how are they going to afford to live here if you don't bring the cost of everything else down? So two points. Go around and get affordable housing -- buildings that have money from the
government that have been down here and get them to give a percentage of their affordable housing and stop going after just one developer. That's all I'm saying.

I loved this area. I lived here for over 21 years and $I$ believe in it. But $I$ do think that is not fair that we go after people that have done good for the community. I don't know where you get the idea that these developers have not helped this area. Anyway, I'm hanging up. But thank you so much for listening and I appreciate it. And have a good night.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you
very much for your testimony. So at this time we're going to take another short break. Or -well, excuse me. Sorry. I'm kind of -- not negotiating, navigating this. I believe we just have one more speaker who has asked to speak, who has spoken before. But let's have this one more speaker and then we'll take another short break. I will let folks know that the option to sign up to speak does end at 7:30, which will be in six minutes, and is half-an-hour before the end of our hearing at 8:00. And so if you do
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want to speak again, please sign up by using the raise hand function or dialing star nine on your phone at this time, because the option to sign up to speak will close in about five minutes.

So we will have -- we'll have one more speaker, Todd Fine, at this time. And then we'll take a short break and reconvene for any final speakers. Todd Fine, you may start when you're ready.

MR. FINE: Yeah. Thank you.
Yeah. I want to keep getting some legal issues on the record. So one thing that's important is that HUD has stopped doing audits of the LMDC for the last two or three years. We don't really understand why, especially at such a critical time when they're talking about closing out their books. One of their last statements said they have up to $\$ 160$ million of unspent money and they have initiated no public process, no -- no accounting of this publicly, and yet they're telling us that they're panicked to shut down. Is it possible that what they're really doing is panic to give that money to favored parties. We will see because we haven't heard anything else.

We've been also been asking for the HUD officials, the names of the HUD officials who have -- who are supposedly telling them that they need to do a luxury agreement in order -- and close that out in order to close LMDC, that it can't be closed out at ESD. But we've never gotten those names. So I don't even really believe that LMDC is requiring that this property to be disposed of in a fake disposal. I've given it, you know, a subsidiary of giving it to its parents. It's just bogus and they need to give us these HUD contexts like today. Not yesterday, not two days ago. I mean, it's just absurd that they're hiding this. But $I$ want to also talk about why, you know, $I$ was trying to get to the point of why this is so important. This neighborhood -- I don't people -- $I$ don't think people get this. Like, it's government is destroying middle class life in the city through the Brooklyn-Battery tunnel, eminent domain through the World Trade Center, eminent domain, through the demolitions since September 11. Like, why can't people get that, that this is like a aggressive tactic to impoverish people. To take them from their homes.

It's been a consistent strategy that's been going for 100 years. We've -- we've documented it. We've written books about it. We're going to hearings about it. And yet New York City government is so intent to get, like, every little cent tunneled to these billionaires. That they can't get that. That they can't get that the government is destroying the middle class life in New York City intentionally.

And we're telling it, we're documenting it. We are, you know, showing how public assets are being used for private interests. And it just, it's not sinking in. And I just want people to think about that. Like, why is this a good plan? Like, what is -- the only, I mean, argument for it is that, like, you can then create some mega campus owned by Silverstein and Brookfield that can then, like, tunnel economic activity and create their own little enclave.

The city, you know, basically
accompanied town. Where, you know, you go to Silverstein restaurants, in his property, you go to work, and then you sleep in Silverstein's bed. That's your vision.
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Where it's like Silverstein has a mini city in our city. And that's the economic development you want rather than what makes New York great is, all the little owners, all the small businesses. You know, classes coming together. It's -- this is not -- this company town vision is disgusting. And I --

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
MR. FINE: -- this needs to be on the record, okay?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. We've completed your allotted time at this time. Thank you very much.

So the time is now 7:28. We are approaching the -- the final -- 7:30, at which time the opportunity to sign up to speak will end. So if you would like to speak, if you have not yet spoken or if you have already spoken, please feel free in the next minute or two to sign up. To raise your hand or dial star nine on your keypad, and you may sign up to speak.

And then we are going to go on a brief break for our ASL interpreters and our stenographers and your hearing officer, to have a
brief break and we will reconvene. We'll go on our break now and we will reconvene at 7:39. At which point, if any additional speakers have signed up to speak by 7:30, they will be able to speak again. Thank you.
(Short recess taken.)
THE HEARING OFFICER: Hello,
everyone. And welcome back to the World Trade Center Site 5, public hearing. We appreciate those of you who are still participating and listening. I am just checking our list to see. It looks like possibly we have two additional -- we have two speakers who signed up to speak prior to the 7:30 cut off time.

I'll -- I'll invite them to speak and if they're still with us and would like to speak, they are welcome to speak again. The first one is Victoria Hillstrom. Victoria Hillstrom, please let us know if you'd like to speak again and if so you may begin. Victoria, I saw you. I saw your video come on, but -- yeah. I see your video again. You may unmute and begin when you're ready. MS. HILLSTROM: Hello.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Hi.
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MS. HILLSTROM: Hi. I don't know if you received the two photos that $I$ just submitted to your help e-mail. But -- and let me please just say, Grace, I had only assumed that you were with Tribeca Citizen and Tribeca Tribune. I most certainly would never be rude to our neighbors. And I'm certain that you hope to add to the community. And yet -- no, of course, the more the merrier. And we support all local businesses. So I apologize if I offended you.

And yet, you may not know since you've only been here for the last 15 years. SoHo and Tribeca were held 100 acres. Tribeca was dangerous. There were guns. I have submitted a photo of our lofts from 1982 and our lofts in 2014. Our life savings went into re-purposing these buildings. 385 Greenwich was originally a hotel for sailors. 71 North Moore is the oldest home in Tribeca dating back to 1805 and 1815 .

The residents of Lower Manhattan
repurposed our industrial zones. Many of us are artist, many of us are in the arts, in fashion, film, music, entertainment in the arts. Tribeca really began the Indie film industry. And so we
understood 12 foot ceilings. We understood the light. We found beauty in industrial buildings and repurposed them to industrial Code based on the zoning for light manufacturing so that we could live and work from home.

Some of the most important
American fashion stories, Cynthia Rowley was in Tribeca for many years. Philip Lim. The list is truly endless. Our really famous artist, Basquiat Koons, still is in Chelsea. The reason that arts and culture are relevant, many people don't know this, that very famous designers, videographers, really the most influential creative from around the world have homes in New York, in Brooklyn, in Lower Manhattan, because New York has always been affordable to live and work.

Our industrial zones, that light manufacturing allowed us the ability to live, work, and create from home. And we are losing -- when we moved, for instance, Fashion Week from Bryan Park, you can see now Fashion Week is being shown in our parks across the city. We moved it to Lincoln Center, Bloomberg thought we would move it to The Shed. CFDA came out and said, absolutely not. We
are not supporting Stephen Ross and Trump's donors. We are losing music.

THE HEARING OFFICER: My
apologies. You have actually gone over the three minutes allotted. But thank you very much for your testimony. And I believe we also have, Todd Fine, who has registered to speak again. Todd fine, if you are still with us and would like to speak again, let us know in your ready. Hi. You may go ahead.

MR. FINE: Hello. Hi. So, you know, since we're are dealing with an issue where pretty much every elected officials composes this plan. And we -- the only person we need to get to is Kathy Hochul. But it's the kind of the typical policy arguments aren't reaching Kathy Hochul. And I think the reason for that is two people, you know. Let's be honest. It's Larry Silverstein and Michael Bloomberg. And so $I$ want to talk to them, you know, just -- I'll -- you know, they're not here, but $I$ want to talk to them, you know, and communicate this.

And so first time I'm going to talk to Mr. Silverstein, who I respect. I mean,
he's a developer, he does things I can't do. But I -- you know, Larry -- Mr. Silverstein, you need to focus on two World Trade Center. You know, you guys kind of keep saying, oh, we need to finish the World Trade Center, we need to finish the World Trade Center. You've got a big building of build, buddy, and you're going to waste your time fighting all the politicians, all the community for five years while we're going to be hounding your finances.

We're going to be protesting you, we're going to be on you constantly. When rather than doing that and getting your two World Trade Center built and everyone applauding you. You're going to dig in. And you're going to have an illegal Port Authority action. You're going to have all these problems. But that's the negative side.

Let's look at on the positive
side. Your legacy man. You can be the hero. You won this bid. You one bid basically, you know, no-one was competing against you. Now you can be the hero. You can put, Larry Silverstein, affordable housing. You know, you did it. You
did.

Everybody will love you if you do this. And instead you're going to kind of, you know, you may not finish what you're doing. You know, you're going to leave other people to do it and it might not happen. And why not just -- you know, you were able to take -- you put \$14 million. That's not a lot of money.

You put $\$ 14$ million into this and you were able to take hundreds of millions of dollars in insurance money to pay your team to work on this indefinitely. You don't even finish. Why don't you just, you know, say that you were blessed in some ways. You're blessed to be a freaking

American, to be a New Yorker. Give back. You
know, give back, Mr. Silverstein. Give back.
Now's your chance. Make the decision.
And Mr. Bloomberg, you know, you have -- did save the memorial, you save this site, you know, you're going to power through. But it's the same thing, you know, give back. Let this area be middle class. Let lower class people do it. Otherwise, both of you guys are going to be dirt in the history books.

And this tail, this 5 World Trade Center cota (phonetic) is going to show that all of your excuses about why it took you 20 years, why the Port Authority this and Hitachi that, is bunked. That you just want to wait until you can get as much money out of it. That's the way we're going to perceive it. I mean, I -- that may not true.

I'm not saying you don't have a civic impulse. I mean, get me -- don't get me wrong. I believe you do because you wouldn't give up so much of your time to civic steps if you didn't. But you can be so much better in these history books. You can -- like, you don't have to fight all the politicians. You can get an award. Otherwise, it's just going to be -- it's just going to be sadness, you know, until you guys get, you know, five, six more years. I don't know. You really want to be hated in Lower Manhattan for that long on this issue? Just do the right thing. And I -- look, I'm hostile, I'm aggressive, but, you know, other people are --

THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh, excuse
me. I'm sorry. I wasn't able to see the timer.

And Todd, you've completed your allotted time at this time.

All right. We have passed the cut off for additional speakers to raise their hand. So -- but we do have two additional -sorry. Just checking. We are going to take a brief five minute break -- five to six minute break, and then we will come back. And if there is we'll have one last opportunity for folks to speak if they'd like.

So we'll go -- let's see. If my timer could run the three minute timer twice. That way will be able to be back at 7:55. And if anyone would like a final opportunity to speak before we close the hearing at 8:00 p.m. we will do that.

All right. So we will see every -- we will still be here. I will stay on. I will turn my video off briefly, but we won't have interpretation, stenographer. We're just going to break until 7:55. Thank you.
(Short recess.)
THE HEARING OFFICER: Hello. And
welcome back. If my -- thank you. To my
interpreters. Sorry. We changed the timing just a
little bit, so I appreciate your flexibility. I believe that we have two raised hands. So we're happy to give you each an opportunity, those two speakers, and a final opportunity to speak.

And we'll start with Victoria Hillstrom. Victoria Hillstrom, you may begin when you're ready.

MS. HILLSTROM: Yes. Just to
finish what $I$ was saying. Grace, you don't know this, but Elizabeth Von Guttman, who is the editor of System magazine. Started industry magazine is above me in Tribeca on North Moore. We obviously are in the business. We've worked on Cipriani, many of the clubs with the Mills and Tiny's are copies of our lofts.

What I'm trying to say to you is
the residents of Lower Manhattan are the very reason that you love to live in Tribeca. We are not anti development. Close to be a developer, I am an interior designer. I design stores. What we're fighting for is to keep the arts, to keep arts, entertainment, music, film, $T V$, and film in Lower Manhattan. To preserve our historic districts. This is Michael Bloomberg's plan to
turn New York City into a luxury product. And what we're saying is that is not who we are.

New York's greatness is based on
its diversity. It is the basis of rent stabilization. And what Mr. Silverman and really many of the development deals in Lower Manhattan seek to do, is to de-regulate the market, which compromises who we are. We are not anti anything. We are in the business and we want arts and culture to remain in New York. Otherwise, you might never have moved to Tribeca.

And so I just wanted to share that with you. We are more alike than you may think. And of course, $I$ apologize if $I$, in any way, offended you. Thanks again, everyone.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your testimony. And our next and final speaker will be Todd Fine. Todd Fine, you may begin when you're ready.

MR. FINE: All right. Hi, again. I wanted to do kind of a history lesson of sorts to understand what really has happened to this lower west side. So this area is all landfill. Landfill that was done after the revolutionary war for the
wealthy elite of New York City to set up mansions along the river. This is the DeWitt Clinton period. You know, this is the vaunted Alexander Hamilton.

Everyone loves Hamilton except thanks to Robert Moses and others. And of course, the World Trade Center were demolishing all the mansions from that period. So there's only a few buildings left. But those buildings at that time, 1830s, $1840 s$, were filled in by Irish and German immigrants. And as by Ellis Island and, you know, even more immigration came in late l9th century.

The lower west side became one of the most important working class immigrant neighborhoods maybe in world history. I mean, it's literally where you get off the boat, you know. So incredibly diversity of the census. So many people like made their start here and contributed to America. And the biggest group that -- the reason I got into this was the Arab Americans.

The -- this is the center of Arab
American life. In fact, on the same street where 5 World Trade Center was found, on Cedar Street. After September 11, we discovered the -- a
cornerstone of a Syrian church in the rubble. In fact, at 9/11 memorial, who's getting this property, has refused to even mention that, which is pretty pathetic, thanks to Debra Burlingame and, you know, the -- some of the racist views that she has implanted into that institution.

But, you know, the truth is that that neighborhood was really destroyed, like, by the same type of action that's being contemplated tonight. Eminent domain to seize for the Battery tunnel. Which evicted, you know, that -- those ramps. And then the World Trade Center, which destroyed Radio Row and also a big place of Czech, eastern European immigrants. And so there's a deep -- like, among people who know that history, there's a deep outrage at that.

The developers are actually
throwing a fig leaf by claiming that they're including motifs of the Syrian church in their architecture. But a letter of Arab American leaders, like, 40 Arab American leaders have said that's not what they want. They want affordable housing in honor of Arab American heritage. And that's another big profor this. Is you can really
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show the people, the world that you care about the history of this area. That you care about its past as a middle class neighborhood.

To build this luxury schlock, you know, to continue this destruction of this area, as you -- as you don't landmark much. It's just an insult to American history, to the idea of an institution like $U S$, you know, the Urban Development Act, which now is ESD. It's just a -it's a bastardization of something that came out of a noble ideal to be captured by billionaires and lobbyists. And that's what we see tonight.

And nobody -- you know, everyone
sees it. And it is important because this, the World Trade Center is like the ultimate symbol. So you can really move in a new direction if you sit down and do the right thing here. You have to have courage. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
I will say that $I$ have learned more than $I$ even knew before about Lower Manhattan this evening. So thank you to all of our speakers and participants in this hearing who have been a part of this public hearing, and who have shared your comments and your
thoughts.

At this time we do not have any more speakers registered to speak. The time is now 7:59, so it will shortly be 8:00. As your public hearing officer, $I$ will say how much my team -- and I appreciate being a part of this process and the public participation that it has been able to create.

So it's now 8:00 p.m. As there are no other speakers registered, we will close the hearing at this time.

Thank you again for joining us this evening for the public hearing for the proposed amendment to the World Trade Center, Memorial and Cultural Program, General Project Plan and Proposed Property Transfers for the development of World Trade Center Site 5 .

Please note that you may submit any comments You have via the addresses on the screen. The e-mail address is $W-T-C-S-I-T-E-5$, WTC Site 5 @esd.ny.gov. This information is also available on the websites of LMDC and ESD. Comments will be accepted until 5:00 p.m. on Monday, October 17, 2022 .
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Thank you. And have a good night. This hearing is now closed.
(At 8:00 p.m., the proceedings were concluded.)
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